

Instructions: Make a copy of this file and edit the filename to include your initials, then score each proposal using the corresponding sheet (see bottom toolbar)						
Criteria	1	2	3	4	Score	Notes
Significance	Project's significance is limited to a narrow (small or highly specialized) audience.	Project's significance appeals to a broad audience but mostly within one academic discipline.	Project's significance appeals to a broad, interdisciplinary audience	Project's significance appeals to a broad, interdisciplinary audience, as well as to readers outside academia.		
Novelty	Project does little to extend the reach or diversity of FSU scholarship. The proposal does not establish a clear gap in scholarship or meaningfully differentiate the proposed work from recent scholarship in the field.	Project modestly extends the reach and diversity of FSU scholarship. The proposal gestures toward a gap in scholarship but only partially differentiates the proposed work from recent scholarship in the field.	Project extends the reach and diversity of FSU scholarship. The proposal identifies a gap in scholarship and distinguishes the proposed work from recent scholarship in the field, though the differentiation could be drawn more sharply.	Project significantly extends the reach and diversity of FSU scholarship. The proposal demonstrates a clear gap in scholarship and differentiates the proposed work from recent scholarship in the field.		
Feasibility	Very unlikely that the project can be completed in 12-18 months: e.g., proposer is starting from scratch and/or mentions complex project elements such as interactive maps or data visualizations	Possible that the project can be completed in 12-18 months: proposer has completed some work on their project already and/or does not mention any complex elements	Likely that the project can be completed in 12-18 months: proposer has completed significant work on their project, articulates a plan or timeline for finishing it, and does not mention complex elements	Very likely that the project can be completed in 12-18 months: proposal involves publishing content that has already been authored/created		
Clarity	Information in the proposal is unclear, sparse, and lacks adequate detail or context	Information in the proposal is clear and well-articulated, but lacks adequate detail or context	Information in the proposal is clear, well-articulated, and provides sufficient detail and context	Information in the proposal meets previous criteria and more - author went out of their way to anticipate and answer all of our questions		
Openness	Proposal's openness statement is unclear or unconvincing - proposer may not have a good understanding of open publishing models or their benefits	Proposal's openness statement identifies broad dissemination as the main benefit of publishing open access	Proposal's openness statement identifies BOTH broad dissemination AND reuse rights as benefits of publishing open access	Proposal meets previous criteria and provides information to support feasibility of open licensing (e.g., proposer indicates that they plan to source any third-party content from openly licensed sources in order to avoid creative commons licensing concerns).		
Total:					0	